

Date submitted (Mountain Standard Time): 6/3/2019 1:32:27 PM

First name: Dirk

Last name: Faegre

Organization:

Title:

Official Representative/Member Indicator:

Address1: 46 Herrick Rd

Address2:

City: Belfast

State:

Province/Region: ME

Zip/Postal Code: 04915

Country: United States

Email: dirkfaegre@netscape.net

Phone: 2073384044

Comments:

First, the draft plan has insufficient direction for administering the Absaroka-Beartooth and Lee Metcalf Wildernesses. The current wilderness management plans allow destructively large groups of up to 25 head of stock (horses and mules) and 15 people in most areas. Research shows that impacts increase significantly when group-sizes exceed 8 head of stock and 12 people. The Forest Service should reduce group size limits accordingly so as to protect all Wildernesses of the forest from harm. Further, the forest plan should put an end to ecologically destructive fish stocking in naturally fishless wilderness lakes, which significantly alters the areas' natural conditions.

Second, the plan must address the issue of human and pack animal feces and urine contamination of lakes and streams on the Beartooth Plateau in the Absaroka-Beartooth Wilderness. Eliminating fish stocking would likely go a long ways towards solving this problem.

Third, vacant grazing allotments in the Wildernesses should be closed so these areas can return to a wild state.

Also:

- There should be zero trail construction or reconstruction in the currently trailless areas of the Absaroka Beartooth and Lee Metcalf Wildernesses. This provides remote areas for wildlife as well as premier areas for solitude. This is especially important to me.
- I can support the wilderness recommendations in Alternative D of the Revised Draft Forest Plan; however, they must be improved by adding the entire 230,000 wild, roadless acres of the Gallatin Range as Recommended Wilderness in the final forest plan.
- Lastly and most importantly, the plan needs to prohibit ALL motorized and mechanized uses, and any other activities not consistent with wilderness protection, in the Recommended Wilderness areas so as to preserve their wilderness qualities until Congress acts on the wilderness recommendations.